



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/697,958	10/30/2003	Kalyana Chakravarthy	852463.405	7801
------------	------------	----------------------	------------	------

38106 7590 11/30/2005

SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC
701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 6300
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092

EXAMINER

HASSAN, AURANGZEB

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2182

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/697,958	Applicant(s) CHAKRAVARTHY ET AL.	
	Examiner Aurangzeb Hassan	Art Unit 2182	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 October 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/30/2003</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The examiner notes that the abstract exceeds 150 words in length.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: after the two phrases, containing "read pointer generating" punctuation should be corrected to accurately reflect to "read pointer-generating" (lines 8, 10 and 16). Applicant is required to check for all minor informalities. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "said state maintaining means" in line 1 of claim 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2182

6. Claims 1 thru 9, 12, 13 and 18 thru 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rust et al. (US Patent 5,699,530 hereinafter "Rust").

7. Referring to a buffer of claim 1, method of claim 5, and method of 18 Rust teaches buffers and methods comprising:

FIFO means capable of storing 'n' data words, each 'm' bits wide, having an 'm' bit wide data input terminal (column 1, lines 26 - 29);

Read data selection means (element 118) connected to data output terminals of the FIFO means and having two data output terminals providing simultaneous access to a selected storage location (column 4, lines 38 - 41);

Odd read pointer-generating (element 106, write pointer) means for providing the selection input to the data selection means for selecting data at an odd read address (element 104, column 4 lines 24 - 30);

Even read pointer-generating (element 106, write pointer) means for providing the selection input to the data selection means for selecting data at an even read address (element 102, column 4 lines 24 - 30);

Multiplexing means coupled to each of the two data output terminals (elements 112 and 114) of the read data selections

Art Unit: 2182

means for selecting one of the outputs of the read data selection means as the first output of the FIFO (column 4, lines 34 - 41); and

State controlling means (elements 156 and 166) coupled to the multiplexing means for controlling the selection of the final FIFO output and to the odd and even read pointer-generating means (column 4, lines 41 - 67).

8. Referring to a buffer of claim 2 and method of claim 6, Rust teaches buffers and methods comprising, FIFO status providing means coupled to a selected read pointer means for generating FIFO status signals (signals from elements 122 and 124, column 4, lines 49 - 53).

9. Referring to a buffer of claim 3, method of claim 7, and method of claim 20 Rust teaches buffers and methods comprising adder means coupled to the selected read pointer generating means to increment the read address for generating the next read address (column 1, lines 34 - 37).

10. Referring to a buffer of claim 4, method of claim 8, and method of claim 19 Rust teaches a buffer and methods wherein,

Art Unit: 2182

said state maintaining means can have two states namely, odd and even (column 2, lines 36 - 44).

11. Referring to a buffer of claim 9, Rust teaches a buffer, comprising,

a FIFO circuit configured to receive, store and output data (column 2, lines 53 - 62, read, store, write);

a data select circuit coupled to the FIFO circuit to receive and data from the FIFO circuit and having the first data output for outputting even data and a second out for outputting off data (elements 102 and 104, column 4 lines 24 - 30);

a multiplexer circuit coupled to the first and second data outputs of the data select circuit and having a control input and a read data output (elements 112 and 114);

a finite station machine having an output coupled to the control input of the multiplexer circuit, the finite state machine configured to generate a control signal to control the output of the multiplexer circuit (column 4, lines 34 - 41); and

a pointer circuit (figure 8) coupled to a the finite state machine and configured to generate a read address that is the output to the data selection service (column 7 lines 9 - 14).

Art Unit: 2182

12. Referring to a buffer of claim 12 Rust teaches a buffer wherein, said state maintaining means can have two states namely, odd and even (column 2, lines 36 - 44).

13. Referring to a buffer of claim 13, Rust teaches a buffer wherein, the pointer circuit comprises an off read pointer circuit and an even read pointer circuit, each coupled to the data select circuit and configured to select an odd read address and an even read address, respectively (elements 102 and 104, column 4 lines 24 - 30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

15. Claims 10, 11, and 14 thru 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rust in view of Kim et al. (US Pub No. 2002/0199042 hereinafter "Kim").

Art Unit: 2182

16. As per claims 14 and 17 Rust teaches a circuit comprising: a FIFO circuit configured to, receive, store and output (column 2, lines 53 - 62, read, store, write) words to a first data bus and a second data bus, and control means coupled to the FIFO circuit and the first and second data outputs (elements 112 and 114);

however Rust fails to explicitly teach a FIFO circuit configured to fetch a next word from the FIFO and assign it to one of the first data output and the second data output that is not currently in use.

Kim teaches fetching and assigning following words from the FIFO and arranging for data output not in use (paragraph [0010 and 0011]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the system of Rust with the above teachings of Kim. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such modification in the processing of FIFO data output assignments.

17. Referring to a circuit of claim 15 Rust in view of Kim teaches a buffer wherein, the control means comprise a finite state machine (through element 18) coupled to a multiplexer (multiplexers 12 and 14), the multiplexer having the first data

Art Unit: 2182

output and the second data output as inputs and a read data output as an output (paragraph [0012]).

18. Referring to a buffer of claim 16 Rust in view of Kim teaches a buffer wherein; the finite state machine comprises a D-flip-flop (page 1, paragraph [0010] lines 13 - 15).

Conclusion


19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aurangzeb Hassan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8625. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2182

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AH
11/22/05


KIM HUYNH
PRIMARY EXAMINER
11/28/05